# Self-study, Lessons 10-11, April 17

## EN/SK Version: Online dating[[1]](#footnote-1) (7E, p. 68)

Hello everybody, this time our focus will be on online dating. How does it work? And does it work? What are its benefits and drawbacks? The goal is also to further increase your vocabulary related to relationships and dating. You will work with both the students book and an article providing the latest research on this topic.

Tasks (Students book p. 68):

* After thinking about the questions in ex. 1, read the article and choose the best summary in ex. 3.
* Read the statements in ex. 4 and after re-reading the article, decide which are T/F.
* Ex. 5: Find the words in the text and note the correct preposition.
* Decide which are advantages and which disadvantages in ex. 6.

After reading some latest research on this topic (below), write me an email stating your opinion on online dating (3-5 sentences, Do you think it could work?, Do you know anybody it worked for/it turned out badly? What dangers do you find most serious, etc.)

Also, check the 14 items of vocabulary **in bold** in an online dictionary, learn their meaning, the correct pronunciation and **use 7 of them in your own sentence**. Write the sentences in an email and send them (with your opinion on online dating) to [englishsosban@gmail.com](mailto:englishsosban@gmail.com) by next Monday 20th April. Enjoy this weekend read ;)

Lastly, do the two articles agree about the role of „romantic chemistry“? Include the answer in your email and explain it in the context of online dating.

# Online Dating is Becoming the Norm


by [Robert VerBruggen](https://ifstudies.org/blog/author/robert-verbruggen), [@RAVerBruggen](https://twitter.com/RAVerBruggen) August 21, 2019

## Highlights

* Increasingly, it’s not our friends, siblings, and churches that serve as mediators between us and potential partners; apps and websites and their algorithms do.
* It could be a bad thing for relationships to start completely outside of existing social connections, and perhaps there’s such a thing as too much choice—especially if it leads people to waste a lot of time sampling the possibilities.

My wife and I met as freshmen in a small college astronomy class in the spring of 2003. Neither of us even had a cell phone, and smartphones weren’t yet on the market. At the time, it was rare to find a romantic partner online: **state-of-the-art** communication tools, such as AOL Instant Messenger, were mainly used to talk to people you already knew. (My screen name was “loudguitars1.”)

Young people today are doing things differently, according to a [study](http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2019/08/19/1908630116) published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences this week. (There’s an ungated draft [here](http://web.stanford.edu/%7Emrosenfe/Rosenfeld_et_al_Disintermediating_Friends.pdf).) Combining the results of surveys conducted in 2009 and 2017, three researchers led by Stanford’s Michael Rosenfeld were able to plot the ways people met their partners against the years in which the meetings took place.

  
*Source: Rosenfield, Michael J., et al.,* [*Disintermediating your friends: how online dating in the United States  
displaced other ways of meeting*](https://www.pnas.org/content/early/2019/08/19/1908630116)*,*PNAS, August 2019.

As the figure illustrates, meeting online is up, up, up, while pretty much everything else is trending downward. Don’t let “bar or restaurant” fool you: The answers aren’t mutually exclusive, and this category’s skyward trend is driven purely by people who connected online and got drinks or food for their first in-person meeting.

As the authors note, these findings end a debate about whether the Internet and especially smartphones would function socially the same way that previous innovations, such as **landline telephones**, did. It used to be that technology just helped us communicate more efficiently with our preexisting **acquaintances**, family, and **coworkers**. Now it helps us find and connect romantically with total **strangers**. In the 2017 survey, 90% of those who started their relationships online had no other connections to each other. Increasingly, it’s not our friends, siblings, and churches that serve as mediators between us and potential partners; apps and websites and their algorithms do.

So, is this a good or bad trend? The new paper doesn’t **dwell on** the question too much, but it’s worth asking.

In theory, it could go either way. On the one hand, sorting through potential partners online could help people find better matches more quickly, both with the help of algorithms and just by speedily **ruling out** possibilities on the basis of the information provided. A lot of pointless dates, and even some **doomed** relationships, can be avoided if you know the **dealbreakers[[2]](#footnote-2)** before you even, say, look into their eyes and say hi—things like whether someone is looking for a serious relationship, whether they want kids, etc.

On the other, it could be a bad thing for relationships to start completely outside of existing social connections, and perhaps there’s such a thing as too much choice—especially if it leads people to waste a lot of time sampling the possibilities. In [Cheap Sex](http://www.amazon.com/Cheap-Sex-Transformation-Marriage-Monogamy/dp/0190673613/ref=sr_1_1?fsc=-1&ih=9_5_0_0_0_0_0_0_0_1.16_91&keywords=cheap+sex&qid=1566321608&s=gateway&sr=8-1&x=0&y=0), Mark Regnerus notes that online dating might work as an **incentive[[3]](#footnote-3)** to end existing relationships as well, by making new partners easily available. It’s further possible that online information can’t predict the romantic chemistry that it takes to **get** a relationship **off the ground** and keep it going. And just in general, given all the ways that smartphones can degrade our personal interactions and relationships, including by [keeping married people in touch with their exes](http://www.nationalreview.com/2019/08/marriage-fidelity-internet-age/), we certainly shouldn’t assume that the good will win out in the specific case of online dating.

However, while the research doesn’t give a final verdict on online dating, in general, *it suggests that online dating might be a good thing, or at least a neutral development*. A 2013 study, also in PNAS, [found](http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2013/05/31/1222447110?with-ds=yes) that “marriages that began on-line, when compared with those that began through traditional off-line venues, were slightly less likely to result in a marital break-up (separation or divorce) and were associated with slightly higher marital satisfaction among those respondents who remained married.” A [2017 study by Rosenfeld](http://web.stanford.edu/%7Emrosenfe/Rosenfeld_Couplehood_and_Internet.pdf) similarly found that “meeting online does not predict couple breakup,” even though it did predict “faster transitions to marriage for heterosexual couples.” There’s also some evidence that online dating [increases **interracial marriage**](http://arxiv.org/pdf/1709.10478.pdf).

It’s worth studying the issue much more, and also looking at the many other outcomes that online dating could affect—including promiscuity, age at first marriage, divorces among older people wanting to **play the field[[4]](#footnote-4)**, etc. But for the time being, there’s no need to **fret about** your 24-year-old’s OKCupid account. Perhaps it will even lead to a happy marriage and grandkids one day.

Robert VerBruggen is an Institute for Family Studies research fellow and a deputy managing editor of National Review

### ANSWER KEY – Students book

### p. 68

ex. 2 - c

ex. 3 – 3

ex. 4 – T, F, T, F, T, F

ex.5

1. of
2. to
3. with
4. in
5. of
6. for

ex. 6

adv- 2, 3, 5

disadv.-1, 4, 6

1. V tomto kontexte sa tým myslia vzťahy, ktoré začali online/ľudia sa spoznali na internete, nemali spoločných priateľov, známych.. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Some helpful explanation and examples here: <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/dealbreaker> [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Zaujímavé slovo, v češtine existuje preklad: „pobídka“, v slovenčine slovenské slovo neexistuje, používame „stimul“. (Často sa používa v ekonomike – investičné stimuly/lákadlá pre zahraničné firmy, aby prišli investovať na SVK. ) [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. to have romantic or sexual relationships with a lot of different people, not wanting to commit to one person. “užívať si“/striedať partnerov [↑](#footnote-ref-4)